UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,934	04/07/2006	Satomi Miyata	MIYATA 6	5550
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.				
624 NINTH ST		KUDLA, JOSEPH S		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		1,934	MIYATA ET AL.	MIYATA ET AL.			
		ner	Art Unit				
	Joseph	s. Kudla	1611				
The MAILING DATE of this comn Period for Reply	nunication appears on	the cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provise after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this centre of the second of the sec	E MAILING DATE OF ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nommunication. In statutory period will apply are eply will, by statute, cause the ths after the mailing date of this	THIS COMMUNICA o event, however, may a replied will expire SIX (6) MONTH application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this of the company of the	•			
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 20 March 20	07					
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action i						
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
·— · · ·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	·	•	•				
· <u>_</u>	e application						
	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	s,aic willialawii ilolli	consideration.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		roquiromont					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restr	iction and/or election	requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None o 1. Certified copies of the prio 2. Certified copies of the prio 3. Copies of the certified copi application from the Interna * See the attached detailed Office and	f: rity documents have b rity documents have b es of the priority docu ational Bureau (PCT l	peen received. peen received in App Iments have been re Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-10, drawn to a composition for enhancing collagen production comprising L-ascorbic acid and/or its derivative and a fatty acid or its derivative as effective ingredients.

Group II, claim(s) 11-14, drawn to a food product, health food, cosmetic or pharmaceutical product comprising L-ascorbic acid and/or its derivative and a fatty acid or its derivative as effective ingredients.

Group III, claim(s) 15, drawn to a process for producing a composition comprising L-ascorbic acid and/or its derivative and a fatty acid or its derivative as effective ingredients.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,934 Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

Group IV, claim(s) 16, drawn to a method of separating a fatty acid or its derivative from

a raw royal jelly.

Group V, claim(s) 17-19, drawn to a method of enhancing collagen production comprising administering L-ascorbic acid and/or its derivative and a fatty acid or its

derivative as effective ingredients.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: There is no special

technical feature in the instant claim set.

The common feature between Groups I-III and V is the combination of L-ascorbic acid and a fatty acid and this appears to be Applicants' special technical feature. Group IV does not contain the L-ascorbic acid; therefore, the claims do no have a special technical feature and thus the claims lack unity.

Applicant is required to elect a group to be examined on the merits.

Election of Species

Fatty acid compound

3. Claims 1-19 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species represented in the instant specification: fatty acids or derivatives. The species are independent or distinct because the fatty acids encompass many different and distinct

structures. A search is required of each individual species resulting in an unduly extensive search burden. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Applicant is cautioned that the election of a species of compound which has not specifically been disclosed as filed may be determined to be New Matter.

Applicant is required in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that to be complete, the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 1614

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product

Application/Control Number: 10/574,934

Page 6

Art Unit: 1614

are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/574,934 Page 7

Art Unit: 1614

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph S. Kudla whose telephone number is (571) 270-3288. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Joseph S. Kudla/

/Phyllis G. Spivack/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614

Examiner, Art Unit 1611